

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,828	SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2629	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Scott A. Elchert (applicant's rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007
Time: 11:20 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim 10 to make the invention of this claim consistent with the original disclosure and to cancel independent claim 9 as being drawn to a nonelected Species I, in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment to claim 10 and the cancellation to claim 9 are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.